

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/024,103 12/17/2001		Michiaki Maruoka	NECB 19.265 3507		
7	590 03/09/2004	•	EXAM	INER	
Katten Muchin Zavis Rosenman			NGUYEN, KHIEM D		
575 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New Tork, IV	1 10022-2363		2823		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application No. Applicant(s) Application No. Applic		·					<u> </u>			
Examiner Khiem D Nguyen 2823 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 5 denotes the transpare is examined to the provisions of 3° CFR 1.136(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled 5 the period for rely period above, the maintaine shalloring priority and will expect SIX (8) MONTHS from the realing date of this. 5 the period for rely period above, the maintaine shalloring priority and will expect SIX (8) MONTHS from the realing date of this. 5 the period for rely period above, the maintaine shalloring priority and will expect SIX (8) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication. 5 the period for rely period above, the maintaine shalloring priority and will expect SIX (8) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication of this (30) days will be considered timely. 5 the period for rely period above, the maintaine shalloring shall be seen that the mailing date of this communication. 5 the period for rely period above, the maintaine shalloring shall be seen that the mailing date of this communication. 5 the period for rely period above, the maintaine shalloring shall be seen that the mailing date of this communication. 5 the period for rely period shall be a shallor period and shall be seen that the mailing date of this communication. 4 period for the shall be sh		:		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Claim(s) 1-20 Sarae vith from communication Claim(s) 1-20 Sarae vithdrawn from consideration.				10/024,1	03	MARUOKA, MICHIAKI				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply a specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with the statisticy minitum of bibity (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply a specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with the statisticy period vill aligned wit weights 3K (MONTHS from the maling date of this communication for reply with by statisticy period vill aligned with veights days and vill application to income ASHACHED (30 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Control of the statistics of the statistics of the communication of the scenariosations, area in timely field, may reduce any search patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). Status Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on Q4 December 2003. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) ±22g is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim (s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) ±12g is/are pending to restrict on and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 [siare: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is a paproved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office acti		:	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENEO STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and states the processor of the processor of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely filed set state (s) (s) MONTHS from the mailing ender the processor of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely filed set state (s) (s) MONTHS from the mailing sale of this communication of the processor of the state (s) MONTHS from the mailing sale of this communication of the processor of the state (s) MONTHS from the mailing sale of this communication. Processor of the state of th		:								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.15(g). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply septicities on the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply to specified above, the maximum statistory period valley pays and vil lospic NS(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallers to reply within the set of outerable period for reply vill. by statistic, cause the application to become ARANCONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office liter than these monitor after the milling date of this communication, even if firstly field, may reduce any. Status 1)② Responsive to communication(s) filled on OA December 2003. 22a) This action is FINAL. 2b)② This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)② Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a)② Of the above claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)③ Claim(s) 1-10 and 15-20 is/are rejected. 7)③ Claim(s) 1-11 s/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 9)□ The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)③ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a⊠ accepted or b□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.86(a). 11)□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12□ The eath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has b	F			appears on the	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	SS			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-10 and 15-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-10 and 15-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-114 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-124 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-124 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a dist of the certified copies not received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(b) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	S	THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum.	N. R 1.136(a). In no ev . reply within the startiod will apply and watute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr dication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commined (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a a a a cacepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Attachment(e) All interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-852) All interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	04 December	<u> 2003</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte</i> Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)		2a)□								
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 15-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11-14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Aktachment(a) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		3)□					nerits is			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(a) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		ispositi	on of Claims	·	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8		4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	tion.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 15-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 11-14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Option of Patent Application (PTO-152)		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
7) □ Claim(s) 11-14 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of Profesperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 11 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 12 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
8	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.									
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are objected to.								
9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		:		d/or election r	equirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	F		•							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of References Cited (PTO-152)		:								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	С			LAAIIIIIEI.						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Г	:		oiaa ariarituuu	ndon 25 11 C C S 444)(a) (d) au (f)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		a)L	_ '	onte havo boo	an received					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		14)∐ A	·							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Α	:								
	1 2	Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Inform					

Application/Control Number: 10/024,103

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The non-final rejection as set forth in paper No. (7) is withdrawn in response to applicants' amendments. A new rejection is made as set forth in this Office Action.

Claims (1-20) are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (U.S. Patent 6,597,032) in view of the Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in this application (AAPA).

In re claim 1, <u>Lee</u> discloses a semiconductor device having a bonding pad electrode of a multi-layer structure, the semiconductor device comprising (col. 3, line 63 to col. 5, line 29 and FIGS. 1-4e): a semiconductor substrate (FIG. 4d: 100); a lower electrode layer (FIG. 4d: 108 a and 108b) formed on the semiconductor substrate; a cover insulating film (FIG. 4d: 110 and 114) formed on the lower electrode layer (col. 4, lines 26-39), wherein the cover insulating film has an opening (FIG. 4d: h2 and h3) for exposing at least a portion of the lower electrode layer (col. 4, lines 40-61), a step portion is provided at a side wall of the opening of the cover insulating film (FIGS. 4d-e), the size of the opening at the upside portion of a step surface of the step portion (opening at

layer 114) is larger than the size of the opening at the downside portion of the step surface (opening at layer 110); and an upper electrode layer (FIG. 4d: 118a and 118b) formed on the portion of the lower electrode layer exposed via the opening, and the upper electrode layer overlaps the step surface of step portion (col. 5, lines 3-29).

<u>Lee</u> does not explicitly disclose wherein the upper electrode layer being made of material having corrosion resistance against substance which is corrosive to the lower electrode layer.

AAPA discloses wherein the upper electrode layer (FIGS. 14B-C: 103) being made of material (TiNiAg) having corrosion resistance against substrate which is corrosive to the lower electrode layer (FIGS. 14B-C: 101) (Background of the Invention, pages 2, lines 2-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor devices to combine the teaching of Lee and AAPA to enable the upper electrode layer having corrosion resistance of Lee to be formed and furthermore to obtain a bonding pad electrode structure in which the lower electrode 101 can be protected from a corrosive substance which can corrode aluminum (col. 2, lines 2-4).

In re claim 2, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein the cover insulating film comprises a silicon nitride film and a PSG (phospho silicate glass) film formed on the silicon nitride film, the step surface of the step portion being a surface portion of the silicon nitride film (Background of the Invention, pages 1 and 3).

In re claim 3, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein the cover insulating film (FIG. 14A: 102) comprises a PSG film (Background of the Invention, page 1, lines 25-26).

Application/Control Number: 10/024,103

Art Unit: 2823

In re claim 4, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein the lower electrode layer (FIG. 14B: 101) comprises a metal film containing aluminum (Background of the Invention, page 2, lines 2-4), and the upper electrode layer (FIG. 14B: 103) comprises a metal film which has corrosion resistance against substance corrosive to aluminum (Background of the Invention, page 2, lines 6-16).

In re claim 5, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein the upper electrode layer (FIG. 14B: 103) comprises a TiNiAg film (Background of the Invention, page 2, lines 9-16).

In re claim 6, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein the device further comprising a high conductivity metal plate coupled onto the upper electrode layer via a conductive paste (Background of the Invention, page 1).

In re claim 7, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein the conductive paste is an Ag paste, and the metal plate is a copper plate (Background of the Invention, page 1).

In re claims 8 and 9, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein said bonding pad electrode is a source pad electrode of a power MOSFET (Background of the Invention, page 1, lines 18-24).

 Claims 10 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (U.S. Patent 6,597,032) in view of the Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in this application (AAPA).

In re claim 10, <u>Lee</u> discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device having a bonding pad electrode of a multi-layer structure, the method comprising (col. 3, line 63 to col. 5, line 29 and FIGS. 1-4e): preparing a semiconductor substrate (FIG. 4d: 100); forming a lower electrode layer (FIG. 4d: 108 a and 108b) on the semiconductor

Art Unit: 2823

substrate; forming a cover insulating film (FIG. 4d: 110 and 114) on the lower electrode layer (col. 4, lines 26-39), forming an opening (FIG. 4d: h2 and h3) in the cover insulating film to expose at least a portion of the lower electrode layer, wherein a step portion is provided at a side wall of the opening of the cover insulating film, the size of the opening at the upside portion of a step surface of the step portion (col. 4, lines 40-61 and FIGS. 4d-e), the size of the opening at the upside portion of a step surface of the step portion (opening at layer 114) is larger than the size of the opening at the downside portion of the step surface (opening at layer 110); and forming an upper electrode layer (FIG. 4d: 118a and 118b) on the portion of the lower electrode layer exposed via the opening, and the upper electrode layer overlaps the step surface of step portion (col. 5, lines 3-29).

Lee does not explicitly disclose wherein the upper electrode layer being made of material having corrosion resistance against substance which is corrosive to the lower electrode layer.

AAPA discloses wherein the upper electrode layer (FIGS. 14B-C: 103) being made of material (TiNiAg) having corrosion resistance against substrate which is corrosive to the lower electrode layer (FIGS. 14B-C: 101) (Background of the Invention, pages 2, lines 2-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor devices to combine the teaching of Lee and AAPA to enable the upper electrode layer having corrosion resistance of Lee to be formed and furthermore to obtain a bonding pad electrode structure in which the lower electrode 101 can be protected from a corrosive substance which can corrode aluminum (col. 2, lines 2-4).

In re claim 15, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein the lower electrode layer (FIG. 14B: 101) comprises a metal film containing aluminum (Background of the Invention, page 2, lines 2-4), and the upper electrode layer (FIG. 14B: 103) comprises a metal film which has corrosion resistance against substance corrosive to aluminum (Background of the Invention, page 2, lines 6-16).

In re claim 16, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein the upper electrode layer (FIG. 14B: 103) comprises a TiNiAg film (Background of the Invention, page 2, lines 9-16).

In re claim 17, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein the device further comprising coupling a high conductivity metal plate onto the upper electrode layer via a conductive paste, after forming the upper electrode layer on a portion of the lower electrode layer exposed via the opening (Background of the Invention, page 1).

In re claim 18, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein the conductive paste is an Ag paste, and the metal plate is a copper plate (Background of the Invention, page 1).

In re claims 19 and 20, <u>AAPA</u> discloses wherein said bonding pad electrode is a source pad electrode of a power MOSFET (Background of the Invention, page 1, lines 18-24).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,103

Art Unit: 2823

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khiem D Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

K.N. March 5, 2004

> W. DAVID COLEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7